

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 12-14, 16, 18, 20 and 25 are now pending in the application. Claims 2, 7, 9-11, 15, 17, 19 and 21-24 were previously cancelled.

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Applicant has amended independent claim 1 pursuant to the Examiner's suggestion during a teleconference held on November 7, 2011.

Applicant further reasserts that the presently pending claims are not unpatentable over Sklar et al. (US 5,990,928) in view of Chobotov (Orbital Mechanics), Satapathy (US 7,072,641) Cotanis (US 2002/0042268) Ashton et al. (US 6,434,682) and Miller et al. (US 5,956,644) for the reasons outlined in the previous responses. As such, the undersigned respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the present application based upon the arguments and remarks presented in previous responses.

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Nov. 16, 2011

By:   
Mark D. Elchuk, Reg. No. 33,686

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

MDE/al/chs

16324771.1